UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,271	08/22/2006	Shunpei Yamazaki	0756-7804	6014	
31780 ERIC ROBINS	7590 03/26/201 ON	EXAMINER			
PMB 955 21010 SOUTHI	DANIZ ST	JAHAN, BILKIS			
	LLS, VA 20165	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2814		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/26/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/590,271	YAMAZAKI, SHUNPEI		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	BILKIS JAHAN	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Claperiods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount contened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIAN APPEAR TO A PROMERTY.</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	1 6 0 1 1 6 6 CT		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Wai-Sing Louie/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2814	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New limitations to claim 1 now specifying that "the integrated circuit includes a power supply circuit" raise new issues that will require further consideration and/or search.